



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,348	02/26/2002	Pasi Laurila	P 290657 2990360US/SMI/jko	9575
7590 09/30/2008 PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD MCLEAN, VA 22102			EXAMINER IQBAL, KHAWAR	
		ART UNIT 2617	PAPER NUMBER PAPER	
		MAIL DATE 09/30/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/082,348	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LAURILA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> KHAWAR IQBAL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617

**—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —**

THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

**AMENDMENTS**

3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d)  They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_

**AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE**

8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER**

11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  
See Continuation Sheet

12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

13.  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

/George Eng/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed in the 07-31-08 Remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner has thoroughly reviewed applicant's arguments but firmly believes the cited reference to reasonably and properly meets the claimed limitations. Applicant's argument was that "creating at least one database comprising subscriber data, from which there is a functional connection to the bearer network, said subscriber data being similar to the data stored in a subscriber application comprised by the terminal, the subscriber data including authentication information" was not taught by the reference. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. Leung teaches that mobile node will typically have a unique mobile node ID (subscriber application) such as that used by the manufacturer (e.g., a serial number or MAC address). Such a mobile node ID may therefore be used to identify the mobile node during the registration process until an IP address is assigned to the mobile node. This may be accomplished through storing at least a portion of the mobile node ID in the registration request. Once the care-of address has been obtained, a registration request is composed and sent via the care-of address and the mobile node may have a mobile node ID (e.g., serial number) that identifies the mobile node. In order that the mobile node may be identified by data contained in the registration request, at least a portion of the mobile node ID is obtained and provided in the registration request. If the registration is authenticated, registration is completed by the Home Agent in steps 218 through 232, fig. 2. The Home Agent verifies if the mobile node needs an IP address at step 218. If the Home Agent determines that the mobile node needs an IP address, an IP address is obtained at step 222. The IP address may be obtained from a pool of available IP addresses maintained by the Home Agent or another entity accessible by the Home Agent. The mobility binding table (subscriber data) may be checked first to see if the mobile node is reregistering. The Home Agent then updates a mobility binding table as necessary with a mapping of the mobile node ID to the obtained IP address at step 224. A mobility binding table (a mobility binding table is typically used by a Home Agent to maintain a list of those nodes that have registered with the Home Agent along with their corresponding care-of addresses) is typically used by a Home Agent to maintain a record of the care-of addresses of the mobile nodes that have registered with the Home Agent. After updating the mobility binding table, the home agent composes and sends a Registration Reply to the mobile node, possibly via a foreign agent, to inform the mobile node of the acceptance or rejection of its request. This registration reply is composed and sent in steps 226 through 230. In order to allow a Foreign Agent receiving the registration reply to identify the mobile node, the registration reply includes the identifying portion of the mobile node ID (col. 7, line 5-col. 8 line 20, also see fig. 2, 4, 8-9). Thus the rejection of the claim in view of Leung will remain.